

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/615,876	07/10/2003	Junichi Hikita	103213-00051	8479		
4372 75	10/12/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
ARENT FOX		ZARNEKE, DAVID A				
SUITE 400	TICUT AVENUE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			2891	2891		
			DATE MAILED: 10/12/200	DATE MAILED: 10/12/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

								
		Applicati	Application No. Applicant(s)					
	Office Action Summany	10/615,8		HIKITA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary				Art Unit				
		David A.		2891				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR INCHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILI nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat opperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory into the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF TI CFR 1.136(a). In no extion. y period will apply and w y statute, cause the apply	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tim rill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE!	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of				
Status								
1)[57]	Pesnansiva to communication(s) filed on	04 August 200	•					
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 August 2005</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□	, — · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
٥/١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	closed in accordance with the practice un	ildei Ex parte Qi	layle, 1930 C.D. 11, 40	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 6-11 is/are pending in the applic	cation.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
_	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>6-11</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the Exa							
	•		□ objected to butbe F					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
				• •	ED 4 404(-1)			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
		ine Examiner. No	ne me attached Office	Action of form P	IO-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
_	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment	(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary ((PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5 · No(s)/Mail Date	SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTC)-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)								

Art Unit: 2891

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Species II, figure 3, claims 6, 7, 9, and 11, in the reply filed on 8/4/05 is acknowledged.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 4/13/05 with respect to the claims have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore the rejection of all claims has been withdrawn.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Art Unit: 2891

Claims 6, 7, 9, and 11 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,617,693. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the only difference is "a distance between the chip connection portions arranged along a first side of said at least one pair of opposite sides is shorter than a distance from the chip connection portions arranged along said first side of said at least one pair of opposite sides to the chip connection portions arranged along a second side of said at least one pair of opposite sides", which is not patentable distinct.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David A. Zarneke whose telephone number is (571)-272-1937. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30 AM-6 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Baumeister can be reached on (571)-272-1722. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/615,876

Art Unit: 2891

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David A. Zarneke

Primary Examiner

October 8, 2005